Three Dimensional CT-based Virtual Patellar Resection in Female Patients Undergoing Total Knee Replacement: A Comparison between Tendon and Subchondral Method
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Background: Due to its small size, variable shape, and lack of distinct anatomical landmarks, osteoarthritic knees make a precise patellar resection extremely difficult.

Methods: We performed virtual patellar resection with digital software using three dimensional computed tomography scans of knees from 49 patients who underwent primary total knee replacement at our hospital. We compared 2 commonly used resection methods, the tendon method (TM) and the subchondral method, to determine an ideal resection plane with respect to the symmetry and thickness of the patellar remnant.

Results: The TM gave a thicker resected patella, and a less oval cut surface shape, which gives better coverage for a domed prosthesis. Both methods, however, gave a symmetric resection both superior-inferiorly, as well as mediolaterally.

Conclusions: Although TM appears statistically better with respect to the thickness and cut surface shape, only further intraoperative studies with long-term clinical follow-up may provide us with the most appropriate patellar resection method.
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their study was based on two dimensional radiographs, however, has been quoted by them as a limitation of their study. Using three-dimensional computerized tomography (3D-CT) analyses, Iranpour et al.\textsuperscript{12} found that the width thickness ratio of the patella can be used to assess the premorbid patellar thickness, which they believed, has to be restored by the bone-prosthesis composite. However, they were uncertain as to whether restoring the premorbid thickness may result in overstuffing the joint in the osteoarthritic patellae. They did their study on relatively normal patellae and did not assess the symmetry of resection or the shape of the resected surface of the patella. This prompted us to perform a 3D-CT study on osteoarthritic patellae, assessing all these morphological parameters to compare 2 commonly used methods of patellar resection, i.e., the tendon method (TM),\textsuperscript{11,13,14} and the subchondral method (SCM).\textsuperscript{12,15,16} Such a quantitative comparison using 3D-CT on the diseased patellae has not been reported thus far. The purpose of our study was to compare the morphology of the resected patellae between the TM and the SCM using 3D-CT based virtual resection and assess the usefulness of these data as a guide for the surgeon in determining an ideal resection plane during patellar resurfacing.

### METHODS

Fifty 3D-CT scans of knees from 49 female patients, who underwent primary TKR for osteoarthritis at our hospital between March 2008 and October 2008, were evaluated. Exclusion criteria included any other pathologic condition other than primary osteoarthritis, as well as valgus aligned knees. All the patients had a preoperative CT scan of their diseased knee (Brilliance CT 64-Channel Scanner, 3rd Generation, Philips, Netherlands) in a high-resolution mode using the 512 × 512 pixel matrix. Patients were supine with the affected limb in the extended position during scanning. All consecutive slices were imported into a 3D-CT reconstruction program (Lucion, Infinitt, Seoul, Korea) (Fig. 1). All patients were older than 62 years (range, 62 to 83 years). All of the above patients had patella-femoral osteoarthritis (Skyline Kellgren and Lawrence grade ≥ 2)\textsuperscript{17} and had received patellar resurfacing during the operation. All patients with very severe osteoarthritic changes and badly deformed patellae, where the bony landmarks were grossly obscured in the 3D-CT scans were excluded from the study. The morphological parameters that were assessed were the pre-resection thickness of the whole patella, the post-resection thickness, the width and height of the resected surface of the patella, and the symmetry of resection, with both the TM and SCM.

The TM involves resection through a plane that passes through the posterior limits of the quadriceps tendon medially and laterally and the patellar tendon inferiorly.\textsuperscript{11,13,14} The SCM involves resecting the patella at the level of the subchondral bone of the lateral facet.\textsuperscript{12,15,16} After setting up the Lucion software, the patella was aligned in the sagittal plane with the median ridge inferiorly and the anterior surface superiorly. The thickness of the patella was then measured, as the vertical distance from the midpoint of the median ridge to the dorsal surface (Fig. 2). A virtual resection in the axial plane was made at this level. This image and the 3D sagittal image were used to define a plane parallel to the anterior surface and just down to the

---

**Fig. 1.** Reconstruction of three-dimensional (3D) computed tomography images of the knee using specialized computer software. Note the corresponding coronal, sagittal and axial views (2D images) together with the 3D knee image.

**Fig. 2.** Thickness of the patella on the three-dimensional image is shown by the white arrow.
subchondral bone of the lateral facet to virtually reproduce the SCM of resection (Fig. 3). To reproduce the TM, we used the 3D axial and sagittal images and the 2D sagittal image to select 3 points, one each at the posterior limits of the quadriceps tendon medially (A) and laterally (B) and one at the posterior limit (C) of patellar tendon inferiorly, where the Hounsfield units changed from fat (negative) to fascia-tendon (positive), just outside the bone. These 3 points defined the plane of resection for the tendon method.

Gomes et al. had described a method of assessing the mediolateral (ML) symmetry of the resected patella. Measuring the thickness 15 mm from the medial and lateral margins of the patella, the resection is considered asymmetric when the difference between the 2 levels is > 2 mm, and as a major asymmetry when > 4 mm. However, since these 2 points were too close to the center of the patella in most of our patients, we chose to assess the ML symmetry by measuring the thickness of the resected patella at the midpoints of the medial and lateral halves of the patella (Fig. 5A). The superoinferior (SI) symmetry had not been quantitatively assessed in any previous reported studies. We assessed the SI symmetry similar to
the manner in which the ML symmetry was assessed, by measuring the thickness at the midpoints of the superior and inferior halves of the cut surface height in the sagittal plane (Fig. 5B).

All statistical analyses were done using SPSS ver. 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The student t-test was employed to compare independent data. In order to achieve precision of the measurements, all measurements were done independently by a research fellow (SGN) and a 4th year resident (DYP), on 2 separate occasions with a gap of one week between measurements. The intraclass correlation coefficients for intra and inter-observer agreement were assessed. The intraclass correlation coefficient was found to be higher than 0.818 for inter-observer agreement, and was 0.967 for intra-observer agreement.

RESULTS

There were 27 left knees and 23 right knees in our study. The mean thickness of the patella before virtual resection with the 3D-CT was 21 mm (range, 18.3 to 23.6 mm; standard deviation [SD], 1.24), and the mean width was 40.9 mm (range, 34.5 to 48.8 mm; SD, 3.17). The mean post-resection thickness with TM was 11.6 mm (range, 9.4 to 14.5 mm; SD, 0.93) and with SCM, 10.9 mm (range, 8.6 to 14.6 mm; SD, 1.2) the mean difference being 0.7 mm (p = 0.0014). The cut surface height was 28.8 mm (range, 24.2 to 36.9 mm) for SCM and 31.8 mm (range, 28.3 to 36.5 mm) for TM and the cut surface width was 40 mm (range, 35.3 to 45.1 mm) and 38.3 mm (range, 33.3 to 43.9 mm), respectively. The mean width to height (W/H) ratio of the cut surface was 1.21 with TM, and 1.39 with the SCM (p < 0.0001). The mean difference between the medial and lateral thicknesses (representing the ML symmetry) of the resected patella was 0.68 (range, 0.1 to 1.8 mm; SD, 0.55) with TM, and 0.7 mm (range, 0.1 to 1.8 mm; SD, 0.52) with SCM. The mean difference between the superior and inferior thicknesses (representing the SI symmetry) of the resected patella was 0.88 mm (range, 0.1 to 2.5 mm; SD, 0.63) with the TM and 0.43 mm (range, 0 to 1.6 mm; SD, 0.32) with SCM (p < 0.001). Thus, both methods gave a symmetric patellar resection mediolaterally, as well as superoinferiorly, with the ML and SI thickness difference being less than 2 mm. The differences in various measurements after virtual resection with both methods are summarized in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

We found significant morphological differences of the resected patella between SCM and TM. In terms of thickness, TM resulted in a thicker patella. In addition, it resulted in a more round shape compared to SCM. Both methods, by definition, produced a symmetric SI and ML cut, yet SCM resulted in a significantly better SI symmetry.

Patellar resurfacing was not included as a part of TKR during earlier days and the incidence of anterior

Table 1. Comparison of Results after Virtual Resection with Subchondral and Tendon Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Subchondral method</th>
<th>Tendon method</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cut thickness (mm)</td>
<td>10.9 (8.8-14.6)</td>
<td>11.6 (9.6-14.6)</td>
<td>0.0014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut surface height (mm)</td>
<td>28.8 (24.2-36.9)</td>
<td>31.8 (28.3-36.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut surface width (mm)</td>
<td>40 (35.3-45.1)</td>
<td>38.3 (33.3-43.9)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut surface W/H ratio</td>
<td>1.39 (1.09-1.54)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.91-1.34)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML TD (ML symmetry) (mm)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.1-1.8)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.1-1.8)</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI TD (SI symmetry) (mm)</td>
<td>0.43 (0-1.6)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.1-2.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. A schematic representation of the resection planes with the 2 methods. The subchondral method gives a resection surface almost parallel with the anterior surface (green oval), while the tendon method gives a more oblique resection plane (red oval), shown here slightly exaggerated (red arrows). This demonstrates why the cut surface height is more with tendon method (Ht) than with the subchondral method (Hs).
knee pain was reported to be as high as 50%. Later studies have shown that, resurfacing the patella has a role in reducing anterior knee pain. Patellar resurfacing, however, is associated with complications that account for up to half of all revision TKRs. Precise surgical techniques can go a long way in avoiding these complications. The depth and symmetry of patellar resection, which are often overlooked by surgeons, can significantly influence the occurrence of these complications.

Asymmetric patellar resection is found to be associated with anterior knee pain, bony impingement, patellar maltracking, plastic overload, and increased wear. Both the SI and ML symmetry have an important influence on the outcome following patellar resurfacing. We found that both the tendon and the SCMs gave a symmetric resection in the ML plane, since the mean ML thickness difference was 0.68 mm (range, 0.1 to 1.8 mm) with TM and 0.7 mm (range, 0.1 to 1.8 mm) with SCM, both values being less than 2 mm. We could not come across any previous study assessing the SI symmetry of patellar resection. Both methods gave a symmetric resection superiorly with a mean SI thickness difference of 0.88 mm (range, 0.1 to 2.5 mm) with TM and 0.43 mm (range, 0 to 1.6 mm) with SCM, both values being less than 2 mm. However, the SI thickness difference was higher with the TM compared to the SCM (p < 0.001), the inferior thickness being lesser in all the cases. This is explained by the fact that the patellar tendon has a more anterior insertion on the patella compared to the quadriceps tendon. So, the TM gives a slightly more oblique resection compared to the SCM, where the resection is done almost parallel to the anterior surface of the patella (Fig. 6).

When resurfacing the patella, it is desirable that the surgeon makes an attempt to restore the thickness of the bone-prosthesis composite to that of the original patella. There are many studies that suggest leaving a minimum patellar thickness of 13 to 16 mm after resection. Kim et al. has reported a mean patellar thickness of 21.2 mm and a post-resection thickness of 12.5 mm in 713 female Korean patients. Although there is concern that a thinner resected patella may have increased strain and a higher risk of fracture, Kim et al. had only one case of periprosthetic fracture in their series, in a patient who sustained it following a fall. Thus, a resected patella, which is thinner than the minimum recommended value in literature (13 to 16 mm), may still be acceptable for Korean patients.

The mean pre-resection thickness measured with the 3D-CT scan in our study was 21 mm (range, 18.3 to 23.6 mm), which was lesser than the intraoperative value of 21.62 mm (range, 20 to 24 mm). This is probably explained by the fact that the articular cartilage and prepatellar soft tissue, both of which contribute to the thickness measured intraoperatively, are not included in the measurements done with the 3D-CT. Rauh et al. after a cadaver study using spiked calipers to measure patellar thickness has mentioned that the intraoperative measurements, which are usually done with a smooth mouthed caliper, can result in an overestimation of the thickness due to the prepatellar soft tissue. The mean post-resection thickness in our study was 11.59 mm (range, 9.39 to 14.50 mm) with the TM and 10.85 mm (range, 8.56 to 14.64 mm) with the SCM. Thus, the TM gave a thicker resected patella with a mean difference of 0.7 mm (p = 0.0014). Intraoperative post-resection thickness was 12 mm (range, 9 to 15 mm) for the above patients.

The W/H ratio of the resected surface gives an idea about its approximate shape, which when round, has a value of 1, and tends to have higher values for more oval shapes. The W/H ratio of the cut surface in our study was 1.21 with the TM and 1.39 with the SCM, which means that the TM gives a less oval shape compared to the SCM (p < 0.0001). This is because the cut surface height was more (mean difference of 3 mm), and the cut surface width was less (mean difference of 1.7 mm), with the TM compared to the SCM. A less oval shape for the resected surface, as obtained with the TM in our study, in turn gives better coverage for the more commonly used domed prostheses. Lee and Kim suggests that, the TM of resection may reduce surgical variations associated with patellar resurfacing using a domed prosthesis. Ledger et al. mentions that, in comparison to an oval patellar prosthesis, a domed prosthesis can tolerate an oblique cut better, remaining in contact with the trochlear groove even with asymmetric cuts. There are concerns about the possibility of implanting an oval prosthesis in malrotation.

Our study has limitations. First of all, this study was done on female subjects only. The differences between female and male patella dimensions have been reported, with males showing higher values for width, height, and W/H ratio. The main reason for solely using female subjects was due to the lack of male patients during the time span in which this study was undertaken. In Korea, as well as in other Asian countries, the ratio of female to male patients receiving TKR is even more lopsided than in Western countries. According to the Korean Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service data between years 2002 to 2005, among the 47,961 patients who had received TKR, 43,513 (90.7%) were female. Secondly, the preoperative 3D-CT tool described in our study may not
be available to all facilities. The cost effectiveness of taking a CT on TKR subjects is unknown. Most importantly, the landmarks chosen for virtual resection with 3D-CT reconstruction need not accurately coincide with the landmarks used for resection during surgery. Intraoperatively, the subchondral junction is not as reliably identifiable as the posterior limits of the quadriceps and patellar tendon attachments. Thus, the virtual resections performed with 3D-CT may be difficult to be accurately reproduced intraoperatively. We believe, however, that 3D-CT was the most practical, as well as ethical way to compare the 2 resection methods on the above mentioned parameters.

In conclusion, our results give the surgeon an idea about the difference in resected morphology between the 2 methods. We found that when compared to SCM, the TM gives a thicker resected patella and a less oval shape to the cut surface, which gives better coverage for a domed prosthesis. Surgeons may choose the TM when a thicker resected patella is needed, or vice versa. Some surgeons may want to medialize the prosthesis for optimal patella tracking, in which case the SCM may be chosen for a more oval cut surface. ML and SI symmetry was achieved with both methods, but the SI symmetry was slightly better with the SCM. Surgeons may choose SCM in order to achieve a more symmetric superior-inferior cut surface to prevent periprosthetic fractures. Though the TM has shown a statistical edge over SCM in terms of post-resection thickness and the cut surface shape, to determine its actual clinical significance, and to determine the most appropriate patellar resection method, further prospective intraoperative studies with long-term clinical follow-up are warranted.
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